

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,508 24998 7	02/09/2001	Yongjun Jeff Hu	M4065 01 94/P124-A	3671
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20037-1526		EXAMINER TRUONG, BAO Q		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 08/14/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Um-			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/779,508	HU, YONGJUN JEFF			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bao Q. Truong	2875			
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH a, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 10) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	February 2001 .				
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	on of Claims					
, —	Claim(s) 31-49 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· _	Claim(s) 31-49 is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	or election requirement.				
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) 🔲 🗀	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	pted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
-	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)(☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pric application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
) \square The translation of the foreign language pracknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/779,508

Art Unit: 2875

. .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 31 and 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee [US 5,401,676].

Regarding claim 31, Lee discloses a silicon field emission device having a silicon substrate [31], a cone shaped emitter [37] and a silicide layer [40] (figure 3, column 3, lines 4-14).

Regarding claims 34-38, Lee discloses a silicide layer [40] with many kinds of metal (column 4, lines 20-25).

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

⁽¹⁾ an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

⁽²⁾ a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

4. Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kumar [US 5,763,997].

Regarding claim 39, Kumar discloses a field emission device having a submicrotip [18] on a metal layer [17], a layer [19] of low work function material over the tip [18], and a phosphor layer [16] (figure 2, column 1, lines 45-67 and column 2, lines 1-15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee as applied to claim 31 above.

Regarding claims 32-33, Lee discloses a thickness of the silicide layer [40] (figure 3). Lee does not disclose the thickness of the layer being about of 50-3000 angstroms.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify a layer thickness value because the applicant has not disclosed any advantage or benefit for a particular range of a layer thickness value. Furthermore, the particular range value of the layer thickness must first be recognized as a result-effective range thickness value, i.e., a range thickness value that achieves a

Application/Control Number: 09/779,508

Art Unit: 2875

recognized result, before the determination of the optimum or workable ranges of said thickness value might be characterized as routine experimentation. See *In re Antonie*, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977) and also *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

7. Claims 40-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar as applied to claim 39 above.

Regarding claims 40-41, Kumar discloses a thickness of a layer [19] (figure 2). Kuman does not disclose the thickness of the layer being about of 50-3000 angstroms.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specify a layer thickness value because the applicant has not disclosed any advantage or benefit for a particular range of a layer thickness value. Furthermore, the particular range value of the layer thickness must first be recognized as a result-effective range thickness value, i.e., a range thickness value that achieves a recognized result, before the determination of the optimum or workable ranges of said thickness value might be characterized as routine experimentation. See *In re Antonie*, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977) and also *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Regarding claims 42-49, Kumar discloses a metal layer [17] and a layer [19] being made of any known low work function material. Kumar does not specifically disclose any types or kinds material in combination with silicon.

Art Unit: 2875

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any known material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a mater of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Q. Truong whose telephone number is (703) 308-6452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30 AM - 5:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7725 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

Bao Q. Truong Examiner Art Unit 2875

BQT August 9, 2002

THOMAS M. SEMBER PRIMARY EXAMINER